

# **Modelling Human Genetic Disorders in Mice**

**Anna Marta Migdalska**

**This dissertation is submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
of the**



**The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute  
Hughes Hall, University of Cambridge**



*Najukochańszym*  
*Rodzicom*

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It has been a pleasure to carry out my PhD project at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute for the four past years, and I would like to thank all the people who helped me during this time.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor, David Adams, for giving me the opportunity to pursue exciting research projects in his laboratory. I am very grateful for his enormous support and excellent guidance. It was a privilege to work with such an inspirational and enthusiastic mentor.

I would like to thank all current and former members of the Adams laboratory for providing me with help and advice in various aspects of my work. I am particularly grateful to Louise for introducing me to many molecular techniques and for reading my thesis, and to Theo for giving me considerable support with tissue culture and for always ensuring a ready supply of reagents. I would like to thank Rebecca for teaching me qRT-PCR. Also thank you to Cathy, Chi and Radek for always being ready to give advice and for the many instructive discussions we have had.

In addition, I would like to thank members of the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Research Support Facility for taking excellent day-to-day care of my mice and for all the technical support they have provided me with.

I would like to express my great appreciation to all member of the Wellcome Trust Mouse Genetics Project for performing some of the phenotypic tests that I applied in my research.

I am very grateful to many other people from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute who helped me with my work: Yvette Hooks and Kay Clarke for teaching me histopathological techniques, Peter Ellis and Robert Andrews for their expertise in conducting microarray analysis, and Gabriela Gurria and Darren Logan for their help with behavioural studies.

I would like to thank my thesis committee members, Derek Stemple, Matthew Hurles and Charles Shaw-Smith, for their comments and suggestions throughout my PhD.

I would also like to thank Inês Barroso and Anton Enright for giving me the possibility of doing rotations in their laboratories during the first year of my PhD, and Kathryn Fawcett and Cei Abreu for helping me during these rotations.

I am also very grateful to Mark Arends from the Department of Pathology of the University of Cambridge for his invaluable help with histopathological analysis, and Lionel Willatt from the Department of Medical Genetics at Addenbrooke's Hospital for teaching me FISH analysis.

Thanks to David for proof-reading and helping with the formatting of my thesis, and for many useful discussions and support.

Finally, a massive thank you to my wonderful parents for their endless support in whatever I chose to do, for always being there for me, and for their unconditional love.

# **DECLARATIONS**

This thesis is my own work and contains nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration except where specifically indicated in the text.

This thesis does not exceed the word limit of 60,000 as set by the Degree Committee for the Faculty of Biology.

September 2011

# ABSTRACT

Rearrangements of the human genome including deletions, duplications, inversions and translocations play a major role in the pathogenesis of many human diseases. In order to facilitate the discovery of dosage-sensitive genomic regions and genes, and to investigate the contribution of genomic rearrangements to the development of different human disorders, many mouse models carrying genomic rearrangements of syntenic regions of the mouse genome have been generated.

During my PhD I have been involved in the generation and phenotypic analysis of two monosomic mouse models carrying deletions syntenic with 21q11.2–q21.1 and 5q35.2–q35.3 in humans.

The first of these models was generated to investigate the contribution of the genes mapped within the *Lipi–Usp25* interval to the development of both various types of cancer and clinical features diagnosed in patients with Monosomy 21 (a disorder associated with intellectual disability, craniofacial, skeletal and/or cardiac abnormalities, and respiratory complications). Monosomic mice displayed impaired memory retention, which models the intellectual disability observed in patients with Monosomy 21. Moreover, when fed on a high-fat diet, monosomic mice exhibited a significant increase in fat mass/fat percentage estimate, severe fatty changes in their livers, and thickened subcutaneous fat. Thus genes within the *Lipi–Usp25* interval are involved in memory retention and the regulation of fat deposition.

The second of these models has been developed to investigate the contribution of the genes mapped within the *4732471D19Rik–B4galt7* interval to the development of clinical features diagnosed in patients with Sotos syndrome (an overgrowth disorder associated with advanced bone age, intellectual disability, hypotonia, facial, cardiovascular and/or urinary/renal abnormalities). Monosomic mice showed dilation of the pelvicalyceal system in the kidneys, which mimics the renal abnormality observed in patients with Sotos syndrome. Thus haploinsufficiency of a gene (or genes) within the *4732471D19Rik–B4galt7* interval successfully models the renal abnormality observed in patients with Sotos syndrome.

# CONTENTS

Abbreviations.....	xiv
List of figures.....	xviii
List of tables.....	xxiii
<b>CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Using the mouse as a genetic tool.....	1
1.2 Chromosome engineering in mouse ES cells.....	1
1.2.1 Ways of inducing chromosomal rearrangements in mice.....	1
1.2.2 A brief overview of chromosome engineering in mouse ES cells.....	2
1.2.3 A strategy to generate chromosomal rearrangements in mouse ES cells....	2
1.2.4 Types of chromosomal rearrangements generated in mouse ES cells.....	4
1.2.5 Targeting vectors used to generate chromosomal rearrangements in mouse ES cells.....	7
1.3 Chromosomal rearrangements found in humans.....	8
1.3.1 Types of chromosomal rearrangements found in humans.....	8
1.3.2 Mechanisms generating chromosomal rearrangements in humans.....	9
1.4 Modelling human chromosomal deletions in mice.....	10
1.4.1 DiGeorge syndrome mouse models.....	10
1.4.1.1 DiGeorge syndrome.....	10
1.4.1.2 DiGeorge syndrome (DGS) mouse models.....	11
1.4.2 Prader-Willi syndrome and Angelman syndrome mouse models.....	14
1.4.2.1 Prader-Willi syndrome and Angelman syndrome.....	14
1.4.2.2 Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) mouse models.....	16
1.4.2.3 Angelman syndrome (AS) mouse models.....	21
1.4.3 Smith-Magenis syndrome mouse models.....	25
1.4.3.1 Smith-Magenis syndrome.....	25
1.4.3.2 Smith-Magenis syndrome (SMS) mouse models.....	26
1.4.4 Williams syndrome mouse models.....	29
1.4.4.1 Williams syndrome.....	29
1.4.4.2 Williams syndrome (WBS) mouse models.....	29

<b>CHAPTER 2 – MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>35</b>
2.1 Materials.....	35
2.1.1 Reagents.....	35
2.2 Methods.....	37
2.2.1 Cell culture.....	37
2.2.1.1 Culture conditions of ES cell lines.....	37
2.2.1.2 Transfection of targeting vectors into ES cells by electroporation.....	38
2.2.1.3 Picking ES cell colonies.....	39
2.2.1.4 Passaging ES cells.....	39
2.2.1.5 Freezing ES cells.....	40
2.2.1.6 Thawing ES cells.....	40
2.2.1.7 Electroporation of the ES cells with the pOG231 Cre-expression vector.....	41
2.2.1.8 Preparing ES cells for microinjection.....	41
2.2.2 Generation of deletion mice.....	42
2.2.2.1 Generation of the <i>Df<sup>Lipi-Usp25</sup>/+</i> ES cell line.....	42
2.2.2.2 Generation of the conditional <i>Df<sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup>/+</i> ES cell.....	43
2.2.2.3 Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH).....	44
2.2.2.4 Use of Animals.....	45
2.2.3 DNA methods.....	45
2.2.3.1 Purification of BAC DNA.....	45
2.2.3.2 Extraction of genomic DNA from ES cells.....	46
2.2.3.3 Extraction of genomic DNA from ear or tail biopsies.....	46
2.2.3.4 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	47
2.2.3.4.1 Design and synthesis of primers for PCR.....	47
2.2.3.4.2 General PCR protocols.....	48
2.2.3.5 Southern blotting.....	50
2.2.3.5.1 Digestion of the DNA samples.....	50
2.2.3.5.2 Electrophoresis and transfer of the DNA.....	50
2.2.3.5.3 Hybridization, preparation of the probe and washing the blot.....	51
2.2.4 RNA methods.....	52
2.2.4.1 Total RNA extraction from tissues.....	52
2.2.4.2 DNase treatment of RNA.....	52

2.2.4.3 Expression array analysis.....	53
2.2.4.4 First strand complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis.....	53
2.2.4.5 Real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR).....	53
2.2.5 Histology and immunohistochemistry.....	54
2.2.5.1 Collection and embedding of tissues/embryos.....	54
2.2.5.2 Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining.....	54
2.2.5.3 Von Kossa staining.....	55
2.2.5.4 Immunohistochemistry.....	55
2.2.5.5 FISH analysis on tissue sections mounted on glass slide.....	57
2.2.6 Phenotypic procedures.....	58
2.2.6.1 Anaesthesia with ketamine/xylazine and antisedan.....	60
2.2.6.2 Diet types.....	60
2.2.6.2.1 Normal-fat diet.....	60
2.2.6.2.2 High-fat diet.....	60
2.2.6.3 Weighting mice.....	60
2.2.6.4 Hair dysmorphology.....	61
2.2.6.5 Hair follicle cycling.....	61
2.2.6.6 Open field.....	61
2.2.6.7 SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals; Harwell, MRC Mouse Genome Centre and Mammalian Genetics Unit; Imperial College School of Medicine at St Mary's; Royal London Hospital, St Bartholomew's and the Royal London School of Medicine; Phenotype Assessment (SHIRPA).....	63
2.2.6.8 Grip strength.....	65
2.2.6.9 Dysmorphology.....	66
2.2.6.10 Hot plate.....	67
2.2.6.11 Indirect calorimetry.....	67
2.2.6.12 Intra-peritoneal glucose tolerance test (ipGTT).....	69
2.2.6.13 Auditory brainstem response (ABR).....	69
2.2.6.14 Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA).....	70
2.2.6.15 X-ray imaging.....	71
2.2.6.16 Core temperature stress.....	72
2.2.6.17 Eye morphology screen.....	73
2.2.6.18 Retro-orbital bleed.....	74

2.2.6.19 Heart weights.....	74
2.2.6.20 Haematology panel.....	74
2.2.6.21 Plasma chemistry panel.....	75
2.2.6.22 Behavioural tests.....	75
2.2.6.22.1 Elevated plus maze.....	75
2.2.6.22.2 Social recognition test.....	77
2.2.6.23 Infection of mice with bacteria.....	77
2.2.6.23.1 <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> infection – an overview.....	77
2.2.6.23.1.1 Preparing inoculum to infect mice with <i>C. rodentium</i> .....	78
2.2.6.23.1.2 Infecting mice with <i>C. rodentium</i> .....	78
2.2.6.23.2 <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium infection – an overview.....	79
2.2.6.23.2.1 Preparing inoculum to infect mice with <i>S. Typhimurium</i> .....	79
2.2.6.23.2.2 Infecting mice with <i>S. Typhimurium</i> .....	79
2.2.6.23.3 Processing of blood for serum and tissues, caecal contents and faecal samples for bacterial counts from mice infected with <i>C. rodentium</i> or <i>S. Typhimurium</i> .....	80
2.2.6.23.3.1 Blood.....	80
2.2.6.23.3.2 Tissues/faecal contents.....	80
2.2.6.23.3.3 Faecal samples.....	80
2.2.6.23.4 Bacteria enumeration in tissues, caecal contents and faecal samples.....	81
2.2.6.23.5 Counting the bacterial colonies from tissues, caecal contents and faecal samples.....	81
2.2.6.23.6 Determination of Immunoglobulin titre from blood serum.....	81
2.2.7 Tumour watch study.....	83

## CHAPTER 3 – MODELLING A DELETION OF THE HUMAN REGION

<b>21q11.2–q21.1 IN MICE.....</b>	<b>84</b>
3.1 General overview.....	84
3.2 Introduction.....	85
3.2.1 Overview of human chromosome 21.....	85
3.2.2 Brief description of genes mapped to the human region 21q11.2–q21.1.....	85

3.2.3 Monosomy 21 syndrome.....	87
3.2.4 Mouse models of Monosomy 21 syndrome.....	91
3.2.5 Increased frequency of tumour formation in patients with deletions of the proximal end of the long arm of chromosome 21.....	92
3.2.6 Generation of a new mouse model of Monosomy 21 syndrome.....	98
3.3 Results.....	99
3.3.1 Generation of monosomic mice for the 1.6 Mb <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region.....	99
3.3.2 Homozygous deletion of the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region results in embryonic lethality.....	102
3.3.3 Phenotypic analysis of monosomic mice.....	106
3.3.3.1 Phenotypic analysis of monosomic mice reveals that loss of one copy of the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region does not affect general morphology, motor or neuromuscular function.....	106
3.3.3.2 Behavioural phenotyping of monosomic mice reveals that loss of one copy of the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region is associated with a deficit in memory retention.....	108
3.3.3.3 Analysis of the body composition of monosomic mice reveals that loss of one copy of the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region results in increased fat deposition.....	111
3.3.4 Increased body fat percentage in monosomic mice fed on a high-fat diet.....	114
3.3.5 Increased fat deposition in monosomic mice is high-fat diet-induced.....	115
3.3.6 Increased fatty changes in the livers of monosomic mice fed on a high-fat diet.....	123
3.3.7 Expression analysis of subcutaneous adipose tissue in monosomic mice fed on a high-fat diet.....	127
3.3.8 Histopathological analysis of bones of 10-week old monosomic mice fed on a normal-fat diet suggests that loss of one copy of the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region might affect bone ossification.....	131
3.3.9 Follow-up analysis of bones of 8-, 25-week and 1-year old monosomic mice reveals that loss of one copy of the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> region does not affect bone ossification.....	132

3.3.10 Increased fat mass in 8- and 25-week old mice does not lead to the increase in bone mass.....	132
3.3.11 Differential responses of monosomic females to the infection with <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> lux caused by subtle changes in the microenvironment.....	142
3.3.12 Ageing study suggests an increased predisposition to tumour formation in monosomic mice.....	149
3.4 Discussion.....	162

## **CHAPTER 4 – MODELLING A DELETION OF THE HUMAN REGION**

<b>5q35.2–q35.3 IN MICE.....</b>	<b>172</b>
4.1 General overview.....	172
4.2 Introduction.....	173
4.2.1 Overview of Sotos syndrome.....	173
4.2.2 The molecular and genetic basis of Sotos syndrome.....	173
4.2.3 Phenotypic differences between Sotos syndrome cases carrying intragenic mutations and 5q35 microdeletions.....	176
4.2.4 The <i>NSD1</i> gene.....	177
4.2.5 A mouse model of Sotos syndrome.....	178
4.2.6 Generation of a new mouse model of Sotos syndrome.....	179
4.3 Results.....	180
4.3.1 Generation of monosomic mice for the 1.5 Mb <i>4732471D19Rik–B4galt7</i> region.....	180
4.3.2 Phenotypic analysis of monosomic mice.....	185
4.3.2.1 Analysis of body weight and body composition of monosomic mice reveals that loss of one copy of the <i>4732471D19Rik–B4galt7</i> region does not result in overgrowth.....	185
4.3.2.2 Histopathological analysis of different tissues of monosomic mice reveals that loss of one copy of the <i>4732471D19Rik–B4galt7</i> region affects the urinary/renal system.....	188
4.4 Discussion.....	193

<b>CHAPTER 5 – GENERAL SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>196</b>
5.1 Overall summary.....	196
5.2 Correlation between the results gathered from monosomic <i>Df<sup>Lipi-Usp25</sup></i> and <i>Df<sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup></i> mice and symptoms in patients with Monosomy 21 and Sotos syndrome.....	198
5.3 Strengths and weaknesses of the approach taken and the results generated...	199
5.4 Further studies that could be undertaken in both mice and humans.....	200
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>203</b>

# ABBREVIATIONS

°C	degrees Celsius
μCi	microcurie
μF	microfarad
μg	microgram
μl	microlitre
μM	micromolar
AB2.2	129S7/SvEvBrdHprt <sup>b-m2</sup>
ABR	auditory brainstem response
aCGH	array comparative genomic hybridization
AS	Angelman syndrome
BAC	bacterial artificial chromosome
BMC	bone mineral content
BMD	bone mineral density
BME	β-mercaptoethanol
BMI	body mass index
bp	basepair
cDNA	complementary DNA
CGH	comparative genomic hybridization
cm	centimeter
dB	decibel
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	double-distilled H <sub>2</sub> O
DECIPHER	Database of Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans using Ensembl Resources
DEXA	dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
DGS	DiGeorge syndrome
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
dNTP	deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
DSB	double-strand break
dsDNA	double-stranded DNA

E14Tg2a	129P2/OlaHsd
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EFT	Ewing family tumours
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EDMD	Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy
ES	embryonic stem
FBS	foetal bovine serum
FISH	fluorescence in situ hybridization
FPLD	familial partial lipodystrophy of the Dunnigan
g	gram
gDNA	genomic DNA
GPS	glutamine/penicillin/streptomycin
HAT	hypoxanthine-aminopterin-thymidine
HFD	high-fat diet
HGPS	Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome
HMT	histone-methyltransferase
HSA	human chromosome
HSW	high stringency wash
HT	hypoxanthine-thymidine
H&E	haematoxylin and eosin
Ig	immunoglobulin
IMS	industrial methylated spirit
ipGTT	intra-peritoneal glucose tolerance test
kb	kilobase
kHz	kilohertz
LB	lysogeny broth
LCR	low-copy repeat
LDL	low-density lipoprotein
LIF	leukaemia inhibiting factor
LOD	logarithm of odds
LOH	loss of heterozygosity
<i>loxP</i>	locus of crossover P1
LPS	lipopolysaccharide

LRP	lipoprotein receptor-related protein
LSW	low stringency wash
Mb	megabase
mbar	millibar
mg	milligram
MICER	Mutagenic Insertion and Chromosome Engineering Resource
ml	millilitre
mm	millimeter
mM	milimolar
MTT	3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide
NAHR	non-allelic homologous recombination
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
NEFAC	non-esterified free fatty acids
NFD	normal-fat diet
ng	nanogram
NHEJ	non-homologous end joining
NID	nuclear receptor interaction domain
nm	nanometer
NR	nuclear receptor
NSCLC	non-small cell lung carcinoma
OD	optical density
OMIM	Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man
OS	osteosarcoma
OSCC	oral squamous cell carcinomas
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PCR-SSCP	polymerase chain reaction-single strand conformation polymorphism
PEV	position-effect-variegation
pH	potential of hydrogen
PHD	zinc-finger plant homeodomain
PWS	Prader-Willi syndrome
PWWP	proline-tryptophan-tryptophan-proline

qRT-PCR	real-time quantitative PCR
RA	retinoic acid
rpm	revolutions per minute
s	seconds
SAC	SET-associated cysteine-rich
SCC	squamous cell carcinomas
SCLC	small cell lung carcinoma
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
SET	Su(var)3-9, Enhancer-of-Zeste, Trithorax
SMS	Smith-Magenis syndrome
SMCR	SMS critical region
snoRNA	small nucleolar RNA
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphism
SoS	Sotos syndrome
SSC	saline-sodium citrate
SSLP	simple sequence length polymorphism
STS	sequence-tagged site
TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
TBST	tris buffered saline with Tween 20
TC	tissue culture
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
TRAIL	tumour necrosis factor related apoptosis-inducing ligand
TSG	tumour suppressor gene
U	unit
UV	ultraviolet
V	volt
VCFS	velocardiofacial syndrome
WAT	white adipose tissue
WBS	Williams syndrome

# LIST OF FIGURES

## CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Figure 1.1. Gene targeting in ES cells.....	4
Figure 1.2. Engineering a deletion and/or duplication in embryonic stem cells.....	5
Figure 1.3. Engineering an inversion in embryonic stem cells.....	6
Figure 1.4. Engineering chromosomal translocations.....	6
Figure 1.5. DGS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	11
Figure 1.6. PWS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	16
Figure 1.7. AS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	22
Figure 1.8. SMS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	26
Figure 1.9. WBS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	30

## CHAPTER 2 – MATERIALS AND METHODS

Figure 2.1. Photo of an ActiMot2 surrounding an open field apparatus.....	62
Figure 2.2. Photo of a SHIRPA viewing jar.....	64
Figure 2.3. Photo of a SHIRPA arena.....	64
Figure 2.4. Photo of a grip strength meter.....	66
Figure 2.5. Photo of a hot plate meter.....	67
Figure 2.6. Photo of a calorimetry cage.....	68
Figure 2.7. Photo of a Lunar PIXImus II Bone Densitometer.....	71
Figure 2.8. Photo of an elevated plus maze.....	76

## CHAPTER 3 – MODELLING A DELETION OF THE HUMAN REGION 21q11.2–q21.1 IN MICE

Figure 3.1. Schematic representation of the q11.2–q21.1 interval on HSA21 and the syntenic region in the C3.1 band on MMU16.....	100
Figure 3.2. Generation of a 1.6 Mb deletion between the <i>Lipi</i> and <i>Usp25</i> loci using Cre//oxP-mediated chromosomal engineering.....	101
Figure 3.3. Embryonic lethality of mice homozygous for the <i>Lipi–Usp25</i> deletion....	104-105
Figure 3.4. Open field results recorded at centre and periphery for 14-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>DL<sup>Lipi-Usp25</sup>/+</i> ) littermates.....	109

Figure 3.5. Elevated plus maze results recorded at open and close arm for 14-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) male littermates.....	110
Figure 3.6. Social recognition test.....	111
Figure 3.7. DEXA analysis of 14-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed a high-fat diet.....	113
Figure 3.8. Comparison of fat percentage estimate of 14-week old wildtype C57BL/6Jc-/c- and 129P2/OlaHsd mice with control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed a high-fat diet.....	114
Figure 3.9. DEXA analysis of 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed either on a normal-fat or a high-fat diet.....	117-118
Figure 3.10. DEXA analysis of 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed either on a normal-fat or a high-fat diet.....	119-120
Figure 3.11. Photos of 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates.....	121
Figure 3.12. DEXA analysis of 1-year old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a normal-fat diet.....	122
Figure 3.13. Histopathological analysis of livers collected from 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed either on a normal-fat or a high-fat diet.....	124
Figure 3.14. Histopathological analysis of livers and skin sections collected from 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed either on a normal-fat or a high-fat diet.....	125
Figure 3.15. Histopathological analysis of livers and skin sections collected from 1-year old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a normal-fat diet.....	126
Figure 3.16. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of adipose tissue.....	128
Figure 3.17. Histopathological analysis of bones collected from 10-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a normal-fat diet.....	131
Figure 3.18. DEXA and histopathological analysis of bones collected from 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a normal-fat or high-fat diet.....	134
Figure 3.19. DEXA and histopathological analysis of bones collected from 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a	

normal-fat or high-fat diet.....	135
Figure 3.20. Histopathological analysis of bones collected from 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a normal-fat.....	136
Figure 3.21. DEXA and histopathological analysis of bones collected from 1-year old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) littermates fed on a normal-fat diet.....	137
Figure 3.22. DEXA analysis of 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) male littermates fed on a normal-fat or high-fat diet (group 1).....	138
Figure 3.23. DEXA analysis of 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) female littermates fed on a normal-fat or high-fat diet (group 2).....	139
Figure 3.24. DEXA analysis of 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) male littermates fed on a normal-fat or high-fat diet (group 3).....	140
Figure 3.25. DEXA analysis of 25-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) female littermates fed on a normal-fat or high-fat diet (group 4).....	141
Figure 3.26. Bacterial enumeration and ELISA assay results for monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) and control (+/+) male littermates infected with <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium TET C.....	144
Figure 3.27. Bacterial shedding results for the first group of monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) and control (+/+) female littermates infected with <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> lux.....	145
Figure 3.28. Bacterial enumeration results for monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) and control (+/+) female littermates infected with <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> lux.....	146
Figure 3.29. Histopathological analysis of caecums collected from control (+/+) and monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) female littermates infected with <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> lux.....	146
Figure 3.30. Bacterial shedding results for the second group of monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25}/+$ ) and control (+/+) female littermates infected with <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> lux.....	147

Figure 3.31. Bacterial shedding results for the third group of monosomic ( $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+}$ ) and control (+/+) female littermates infected with <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> lux.....	148
Figure 3.32. Histopathological analysis of haematoxylin and eosin-stained skin sections collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ , $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{+/+}$ and $+/+;Blm^{m3/m3}$ mice that were culled due to severe ulceration.....	153
Figure 3.33. Histopathological analysis of haematoxylin and eosin-stained livers collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ and $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{+/+}$ mice that were found dead or culled as they looked pale or had hunched piloerection.....	154
Figure 3.34. Histopathological analysis of haematoxylin and eosin-stained bladder and bone collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mice that were culled sick.....	154
Figure 3.35. Analysis of liver collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	155
Figure 3.36. Analysis of lungs collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	155
Figure 3.37. Analysis of kidney collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{+/+}$ mouse.....	156
Figure 3.38. Analysis of abdominal lymph node and liver collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	156
Figure 3.39. Analysis of lungs collected from $+/+;Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	157
Figure 3.40. Analysis of abdominal lymph node collected from $+/+;Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	157
Figure 3.41. Analysis of kidneys and pancreas collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	158
Figure 3.42. Analysis of small intestine collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse	159
Figure 3.43. Analysis of liver collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	159
Figure 3.44. Analysis of liver collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	160
Figure 3.45. Analysis of uterus collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{m3/m3}$ mouse.....	160
Figure 3.46. Analysis of spleen, bone and liver collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{+/+}$ mouse.....	161
Figure 3.47. Analysis of skin section collected from $Df^{Lipi-Usp25/+};Blm^{+/+}$ mouse.....	161

## CHAPTER 4 – MODELLING A DELETION OF THE HUMAN REGION 5q35.2–q35.3 IN MICE

Figure 4.1. Physical map covering microdeletions and their flanking regions, and a

summary of 50 microdeletions identified by FISH or microarray CGH analysis.....	176
Figure 4.2. Schematic representation of the <i>NSD1</i> gene.....	178
Figure 4.3. Schematic representation of the q35.2–q35.3 interval on HSA5 and the syntenic region in the B1 band on MMU13.....	181
Figure 4.4. Generation of a 1.5 Mb deletion between the <i>4732471D91Rik</i> and <i>B4galt7</i> loci using Cre/ <i>loxP</i> -mediated chromosomal engineering.....	184
Figure 4.5. DEXA analysis of 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+) littermates fed a normal-fat diet.....	186
Figure 4.6. DEXA analysis of 14-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+) littermates fed a normal-fat diet.....	187
Figure 4.7. DEXA analysis of 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+) littermates fed a normal-fat diet.....	188
Figure 4.8. A schematic representation of a kidney.....	189
Figure 4.9. Histopathological analysis of kidneys collected from 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+) male littermates fed on a normal-fat...	190
Figure 4.10. Histopathological analysis of kidneys collected from 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+) male littermates fed on a normal-fat.....	191
Figure 4.11. Histopathological analysis of kidneys collected from 8-week old control (+/+) and monosomic ( <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+) female littermates fed on a normal-fat.....	192
Figure 4.12. Analysis of uterus collected from <i>Df</i> <sup>4732471D19Rik-B4galt7</sup> /+ mouse.....	192

# LIST OF TABLES

## CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Table 1.1. Brief summary of DGS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	12
Table 1.2. Brief summary of PWS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	17
Table 1.2 continued. Brief summary of PWS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	18
Table 1.3. Brief summary of AS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	23
Table 1.4. Brief summary of SMS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	27
Table 1.5. Brief summary of WBS mouse models that have been generated to date.....	31

## CHAPTER 2 – MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table 2.1. Volumes.....	40
Table 2.2. PCR primer sequences.....	48
Table 2.3. qRT-PCR primer sequence.....	54
Table 2.4. Short summary of the phenotypic tests performed on 14 monosomic <i>Df<sup>Lipi-Usp25</sup></i> mice and 14 wildtype littermates fed on a high-fat diet.....	59

## CHAPTER 3 – MODELLING A DELETION OF THE HUMAN REGION 21q11.2–q21.1 IN MICE

Table 3.1. Short summary of the results obtained from phenotypic tests performed on 14 monosomic <i>Df<sup>Lipi-Usp25</sup></i> mice and 14 wildtype littermates fed on a high-fat diet.....	107
Table 3.2. Gene expression analysis of subcutaneous adipose tissue from monosomic ( <i>Df<sup>Lipi-Usp25</sup></i> ) and control (+/+) littermates fed on a high-fat diet.....	129

Table 3.2 continued. Gene expression analysis of subcutaneous adipose tissue from monosomic ( <i>Df<sup>Lip1-Usp25</sup></i> ) and control (+/+) littermates fed on a high-fat diet.....	130
Table 3.3. Summary of the morphological and histopathological findings observed in culled or dead mice subjected to ageing study.....	151
Table 3.3 continued. Summary of the morphological and histopathological findings observed in culled or dead mice subjected to ageing study.....	152

#### **CHAPTER 4 – MODELLING A DELETION OF THE HUMAN REGION 5q35.2–q35.3 IN MICE**

Table 4.1. Summary of the genes mapped within the <i>4732471D19Rik–B4galt7</i> region (NCBI build m37).....	182
Table 4.1 continued. Summary of the genes mapped within the <i>4732471D19Rik–B4galt7</i> region (NCBI build m37).....	183